

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2009 with funding from Lyrasis Members and Sloan Foundation

SOUTHERN

Southern College Alumni Magazine

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT

ne of the events of last October's Alumni Homecoming Weekend was a Sabbath noon religion symposium. The theology teachers of Southern College formed a el to answer questions that had been previously submitted by alumni t the beginning of the program, I indicated that questions and answers could be ed in the Southern Columns for the information of all alumni. Unfortunately, the t, of the recorded transcription prohibits that being done. Instead, in this special ement, we are including a brief synopsis of the entire program and then ising on Elder Edwin Zackrison's very positive and succinct response to one of the

Wayne Thurber ctor of Southern College Alumni

nposium Synopsis

he one-hour Sabbath afternoon nni Weekend Religion Symposium was oduced by Elder Douglas Bennett, essor of religion, who presented an orical overview of the Seventh-day entist Church and its philosophical ces He also reiterated the position ch the religion faculty take on cular issues by quoting portions of a ten statement published in the August Southern Tidings which emphasize The teachers of religion wish to and students in the word of God and de them with the ability to proclaim

- thfully and responsibly. We also at y appreciate the guiding hand of Spr. of Prophecy in the development the Seventh-day Adventist Church and m the continuing and reliable dance of the writings of Ellen White r in ught-life and work of the ich "uday. We are in narmony with or enrus statement made at Glacier garding 1844, the sanctuary, and pr Adver judgment for the y v judgment). We further affirm at a raigh of God mediated fair a ne which eads the recipient y, ce

, de nett ther presented the panel for quistion "What in the Dallas men and he widger your more main to that Dallas Statement Exparrer la Bellefs?" Each member J' rir College, Divisor of Peligion uily reported to the question and - affirmed his brief in these

parier at beief, as approved at the General Conference session in 1980 published in the May 1, 1980, vertal Pevie IV

The panel's next question was "What about a literal translation or interpretation of Hebrew references to 'heavenly sanctuary'?" The panel was not clear as to whether the question referred to Old Testament Hebrew references or New Testament references in the book of Hebrews Therefore, Elder Jerry Gladson commented on Old Testament references and Elder Ron Springett addressed the New Testament references in the book of Hebrews, referring listeners to the Additional Note on Hebrews, Chapter 10 in Volume 7 of the Seventh-day Adventist Bible Commentary, pages 467-68, as a most definitive study Elder Bennett also



Dr. Lorenzo Grant

referred the audience to page 7 of the October 7, 1982, Adventist Review, which presented a word study on translating Hebrews 8 through 13 centering on the word for sanctuary in that passage

The panel then addressed the question included below Responses and explanations were presented by Elder Edwin Zackrison, much of whose answer



The Religion faculty at Southern College are, from left to right: Elder Edwin Zackrison, Dr. Douglas Bennett, Dr. Jerry Gladson, Dr. Lorenzo Grant, Dr. Gordon Hyde, Dr. Norman Gulley and Elder Ronald Springett.



Elder Ron Springett addresses a question.



Dr. Jerry Gladson

is detailed in this column, Eider Loren Grant, who explained some of the possible sources of such charges and facts surrounding some illustrative incidents, and Elder Jerry Gladson, w comments on how classroom teachin and pulpit preaching differ and can lit to misunderstandings if all of the fact and circumstances are not known we especially appreciated by a number clisteners in the audience

According to Elder Wayne Thurber Director of Southern College Alumni, alumni response to this religion symposium was very positive. He encourages alumni and constituents to visit with members of the religion fair as they welcome opportunities to distall facets of Christianity and the belief the Seventh-day Adventist Church will interested alumni and constituents.

Ask the Staff

Ouestion: There is talk of heresy being taught here at Southern College. Even though I don't believe this to be true, what is given as the reason for the heresy charge?

By Elder Edwin Zackrison

In the history of the Christian church, the word "heresy" is most often used in relation to a creed Since Adventists have always maintained that they have no creed but the Bible, it is difficult to relate to the term as credal Christians do Probably there are at least four things I think could be meant by the charge of heresy

The first is that since we believe and state that the Bible is our creed, a heretic would be one out of harmony with the Bible That is very hard to determine, of course, since there are different interpretations of the Bible. Number one then is a bit difficult for Adventists

The second is that a heretic is one who is at variance with my understanding of the Bible. With this we might be getting closer to what could be meant by this heresy talk.

Number three is that a heretic can be one who is at variance with the church's understanding of the Bible That, of course, would be our statement of fundamental beliefs. So a person who is not upholding the fundamental beliefs could be classed as a heretic. That, of course, would be an opinion until confirmed by a qualified or authorized body of examiners.

The fourth is that a heretic is one who is at variance with my understanding of the fundamental beliefs

Let me make a statement about the fundamental beliefs Our fundamental beliefs were formulated with some room to encourage study and some degree of freedom of expression. If there is only certain terminology allowed in the interpretation of our fundamental beliefs, it is very easy to call anybody a heretic who doesn't agree with a certain interpretation. But the charge of heresy is a very general charge that must be determined by a qualified group set up by the church.

Our theology staff as a group and as individuals have already affirmed our adherence to and agreement with the fundamental beliefs. We each tell our classes the same thing, that in our classes we will be teaching in harmony with Seventh-day Adventist accepted official teachings

How can alumni help to answer claims of heresy? To dispel the impact of such unfair charges, they can first determine to what extent the charges are really true. That can be done partially by talking personally with the accused Our Lord set up that policy (Matthew 1815) and it has never been improved upon

One of my colleagues was recentle told— "But I have it from good crect sources that you are teaching heresy replied, "I am your best source since know what goes on in my mind an what my intentions are in a classroo

We invite you and urge you to coand check out rumors. The college yeven pay the phone bill if you call collect.

Second, do not allow yourself to become embroiled in negativism too?



Elder Edwin Zackrison

our institutions. Stop the rumor in its tracks and remember that Christian teachers have spent the better part of their lives in committed training to qualify for the positions they hold in ministry to your youth. To a large degree, our effectiveness is due to your trust. When your children hear you criticize the school, they are affected.



Finally, you can support your college Give to this school, talk it up, send your children and grandchildren here Communicate with us, visit us, call us, write us Invite us to speak in your churches Pray for us and let us know you are doing it



We are pastors who have devoted our lives to youth evangelism. We believe that this work is in God's hands and our faith is strengthened as we see His providence worked out even in hard times. "The government is on his shoulders" [Isaiah 96]

In this day when so many of our youth are leaving the church, we cannot afford to allow what may be our last great bastion of youth evangelism—our schools—to be destroyed

Elder Edwin Zackrison is Associate Professor of Religion at Southern College

Heresy or Heresay

Do you remember as a child hurling nasty names back and forth with a playmate you were mad at? And do you remember after being called a particularly biting name, how you shouted back, "Sticks and stones may break my bones, but names will never harm me"? And then do you remember how you turned haughtily on your heels and strode away, head held high, only to collapse in tears as soon as the name-caller was out of sight? And why the crying? Because you knew what the name-caller knew and counted on—NAMES DO HARM

Nor do adults forget their childhood learnings Well aware of the effects, they indulge in a sophisticated version of the old sticks-and-stones rhyme by labeling those with different national, political, or religious beliefs as "Dago," "Commie" or "heretic"

American author Ambrose Bierce capitalized on mankind's habit of describing words in terms of personal connotations when he wrote his famous The Devil's Dictionary, a compilation of subjective definitions of certain loaded

"Today in the S.D.A. church too many are labeling too quickly, too invalidly, too unlovingly anyone holding divergent views as heretic, . . ."

words For example, he defined a bigot as "One who is obstinately and zealously attached to an opinion that you do not entertain" And although Bierce did not describe the word heretic specifically, he would probably have penned a similar humorous definition. But at the dictionary the laugh stops. Today in the S.D.A. church too many are labeling too quickly, too invalidly, too unlovingly anyone holding divergent views as heretic, a term most in the church had formerly connected with faithful martyrs for truth during the Middle Ages and Inquisition times.

Those who choose to brand fellow Adventists as heretics need to be aware of some possible dangers such name-calling confronts. Admittedly, any

denomination must have its doctrinal boundaries, but what are some of the dangers of setting up religious Check-Point-Charlies, of sleuthing out "dangerous" dissidents and persecuting them, if not physically, then verbally?

First, a major danger is that the so-called heretic is simply not one. The very act of name-calling harms the communication process between the labeler and the one labeled, obscuring any attempts at really understanding the issue. In addition, name-labeling often sets up the framework for a self-fulfilling.

"...a major danger is that the so-called heretic is simply not one."

prophecy: a person falsely accused may eventually turn bitter, having decided that a church whose members propagate any rumor they hear is not a church worth supporting. Agriculturally, some weed killers do kill weeds as adveltised—but they also kill other vegetation as well Medically, chemotherapy is affective if it destroys only the malignant cells and not the healthy ones too Spiritually, Jesus' parable of the wheat and tares illustrates that in a church the good and bad may—for a time—have to be permitted to grow simultaneously, lest the pulling up of the tares also pull up some wheat

A second major danger to the name-caller is that he become obsessed with that which should only be a concern—even if there exists a problem in the first place. If enough name-callers group together, their collective mania can turn the emphasis of the church from "Let's grow" to "Let's weed out", from "Let's live our faith" to "Let's be doctrinally pure"; from "Let's get involved in 1,000 Days of Reaping" to "Let's reduce to 144,000" Philosophically, heretic-hunting and 1,000-days-of-reaping seem to be dramatically opposed

Another danger arises from a distorted view of the role of truth defenders, a warped sort of religious Machiavellism allowing any method to be justified in the name of truth Paul must have been aware of zealous extremists when he wrote in Romans 10:2 (Phillips) "I know from experience what a passion for God they have, but alas, it is not a passion based on knowledge" Admittedly, an act done in the name of God does not make it godly

A fourth danger awaits the accuser who labels heretical a viewpoint which

the SDA church has left intentionally ambiguous or has not taken a definite stand on. For example, those defending a certain specific of the sanctuary issue as being "traditional" should check the church's official statements to make sure that it has declared itself one way or another on the specific being attacked as 'new theology." For years, the SDA church has seemed able to cope with a varied membership, some of whom were "perfectionists" and some of whom were not. But today there are those who brand as false teachers any who promote a view different from theirs.

"...a person falsely accused may eventually turn bitter, having decided that a church whose members propagate any rumor they hear is not a church worth supporting."

If a name-caller does manage to avoid the dangers of his practice, he still has some penetrating questions to ask

How many of the twenty-seven beliefs voted at Dallas does one have to believe before he is an S D.A ? A fourth? A half? All? And what if only twenty-six? Then would that person be called an S D A - Minus-One? What church would he join, if not an S D A one?

If indeed heretical people or activities are established as existing, how should the situation be dealt with? It tempts anyone with truth on his side to make that very virtue a vice, an opportunity for applying corrupt ecclesiastical power in the name of Jesus But does the church ever have any place for the tyranny of truth. From an article documented simply, Manuscript 24, 1892, Ellen White Uffered these penetrating commentaries.

We cannot then take a position that the unity of the church consists in viewing every text of Scripture in the very same light. The church may pass resolution upon resolution to put down all disagreement of opinions, but we cannot force the mind and will, and thus root out disagreement. These resolutions may conceal the discord, but they cannot quench it and establish perfect agreement. Nothing can

perfect unity in the church but the spirit of Christlike forebearance "

Other questions need to be asked Is the interrogator closer to Jesus by his investigations into heresy charges? Are his motives to defend truth or to defend himself? Are the methods chosen to handle rumored or established heresy governed by love? William Miller once said, "I would rather live with Christian savages rather than with savage Christians" Significantly, an analysis of Jesus' commandments will reveal that about one-half of them admonish us "to love"

Is there a possibility that the anger an accuser feels against a "heretical" brother is in reality a cover-up reaction because he has the same beliefs himself? Is he more afraid of than opposed to a new thought? Eugene McCarthy records man's tendency to defend tradition—right or wrong—in a poem entitled "Three Bad Signs"

This is a clean, safe town No one can just come round With ribbons and bright thread Or new books to be read

And one more question: If the new wine of truth does indeed burst old bottles, could it be that maybe someone calls another a heretic when in reality some new wine has broken a pet "old bottle" of the accuser?

Perhaps the gravest concern of all is what heretic hunting and related activities do to the church as a whole, breaking down trust, fostering suspicion, hampering growth. When so many SDA youth are

"The church may pass resolution upon resolution to put down all disagreement of opinions, but we cannot force the mind and will, and thus root out disagreement."

— Ellen G. White

leaving the Enurch, when the population of the earth is increasing faster than evange ism, when wars famines, and disasters suggest the imminence of Jesus' return—there is little energy left to cope with such major issues when a church is obsessed with purifying the few members it does have!

The Adventist psyche has long been prepared for external persecutions, mental and/or physical, but nothing has prepared the average S D.A with an emotional reserve to handle the current internal turmoil in the church. Historians are quick

"Perhaps the gravest concern of all is what heretic hunting and related activities do to the church as a whole, ..."

to point out that the disintegration of the Puritan Church resulted from its internal commotion, confrontation, disunity, not from its external pressures. The history of that religious group must not become the history of the SDA church.

One final observation. Some may feel that since they have not been directly involved in labeling or in being labeled one way or another in the current church controversy they can therefore "sit this dance out," carefully avoiding any action that would mark them supportive of one issue or another. A viable position? Maybe not. Again we turn to history as a commentator on the present Martin Niemoller, a pastor in Germany during World War II, wrote in 1945.

In Germany the Nazis came for the Communists, and I did not speak up because I was not a Communist. Then they came for the Jews, and I did not speak up because I was not a Jew. Then they came for the trade unionists, and I did not speak up because I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for the Catholics, and I did not speak up because I was a Protestant. Then they came for ME By that time there was no one to speak up for anyone.

Thinking of heretic-hunting, anyone? Think twice That sport has been shown to be dangerous to spiritual health, both of the hunter and of the hunted.

Dr Wilma McClarty is a Professor of English at Southern College

Southern College



